

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,111	10/644,111 08/20/2003		Keith A. Sauerland	03093	6528
30114	7590	04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
MERONI ·	+ MERO	NI	KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM		
P.O. BOX 3					
BARRING	ron, il	60011	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3762	
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-
1
۳.
\sim

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/644,111	SAUERLAND, KEITH A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Kahelin	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	•	·				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8,21-24 and 26-34</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,21-24 and 26-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	_					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Aug 1						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities: "further" should be inserted between "claim 26" and "comprising". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 21-24, and 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In regards to claims 1, 5 and 21, it is unclear how the housing element can be both fluid-tight and have multiple openings. Examiner has interpreted the entire assembly as being fluid-tight wherein the housing element has several openings, and should be amended accordingly.
- In regards to claims 4, 8 and 24, "an improved gripping surface" is vague because it is unclear as to what the gripping surface is being compared (i.e. improved over what?).
- 6. In regards to claims 30, 32 and 34, the claims are vague because their dependency is unclear. Examiner has interpreted this to be a typographical error and has been considered accordingly: claim 30 should read "the cordless stethoscope of

Application/Control Number: 10/644,111

Art Unit: 3762

claim 29" and claim 32 should read "the sound relaying device of claim 31". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 21-26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brummel et al. (US 2005/0074130, hereinafter "Brummel") in view of Boesen (US 6,852,084, hereinafter "Boesen"). Please note that Examiner has verified support for Brummel's entire disclosure in Appl. No. 60/477,276. Brummel discloses a fluid-tight housing (par. 0018), capable of being gripped by a gloved hand (inherently capable, for example if set on the palm of a gloved hand), having a stethoscope head in an opening (36 and par. 0025), a power source (par. 0026), a magnetic induction transmitter (par. 0030; RF telemetry is inherently magnetic induction because radio waves are electromagnetic), a fluid tight cover over all elements (par. 0018), a no slip grip ring (par. 0022), and a remote sound reproduction device (par. 0030). Examiner has interpreted claims 3, 7, 23, 30 and 34 as invoking 35 USC 112(6), wherein the means for coupling/attaching is a ring. Although Brummel discloses that the stethoscope head may be integral with the body interface surface (par. 0025), Brummel does not expressly disclose a separate fluid-tight member between the head and the housing, a

Application/Control Number: 10/644,111

Art Unit: 3762

momentary activation switch, or an indicator indicating power flow to the stethoscope head. In regards to a separate fluid-tight member, it is well known in the art of electronic devices to provide a gasket, grommet, or other fluid-tight member when passing elements through a housing to avoid the ingress of fluids or other matter that will compromise the function of the internal electronics. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Brummel's invention with a gasket, grommet, or other fluid-tight member between the sensor head and housing to avoid the ingress of fluids or other matter that will compromise the function of the internal electronics. In regards to the momentary activation switch and indicator for indiacting power flow to the stethoscope head, Boesen teaches of providing a remote stethoscope with a momentary activation switch (16 and col. 3, line 54) to provide power to the device only when necessary, to prolong battery life and an indicator to indicate power flow to the stethoscope head (col. 3, line 62) to notify a user of the condition of the device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brummel's invention by providing a momentary activation switch to provide power to the device only when necessary, to prolong battery life and an indicator to indicate power flow to the stethoscope head to notify a user of the condition of the device.

Page 4

9. Claims 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brummel in view of Kuo (US 6,836,680, hereinafter "Kuo"). Brummel discloses the essential features of the claimed invention, including an indicator for indicating operation

Application/Control Number: 10/644,111

Art Unit: 3762

(par. 0026), but does not disclose a relaying device comprising a microphone. Kuo teaches of providing a wireless relaying device with a means to sense both pulse signals and voice sounds to diagnose various maladies remotely (col. 2, line 40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brummel's invention by providing a wireless relaying device with a means to sense both pulse signals and voice sounds to diagnose various maladies remotely.

Page 5

10. Claims 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brummel in view of Boesen as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Kuo. The modified invention of Brummel discloses the essential features of the claimed invention, including relaying voice and auscultatory sounds (Boesen, col. 6, line 44), but does not expressly disclose a microphone that transmits voice data from the patient device to the sound reproduction device. Kuo teaches of a microphone that transmits both pulse and voice data from the patient device to an external device to diagnose various maladies remotely. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Brummel's invention by providing a microphone that transmits both pulse and voice data from the patient device to an external device to diagnose various maladies remotely.

Art Unit: 3762

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 1-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER

MWK 1/13/06